Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Screening, Diagnosis, and Staging
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ABSTRACT

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide. Tobacco consumption is the primary cause of lung cancer, accounting for more than 85%--90% of all lung cancer deaths. Non-small cell lung cancer accounts for about 85% of all lung cancers.

Several studies have shown that low-dose helical CT of the lung detects more nodules and lung cancers, including early-stage cancers, than does chest radiography. The National Lung Cancer Screening Trial results show that three annual rounds of low-dose CT screening reduce mortality from lung cancer. Despite the great debate around lung cancer screening, recently the National Comprehensive Cancer Network has come out in favor of lung cancer screening in an updated set of guidelines.

All patients who present with suspect lung cancer should have a complete and meticulous history and physical examination performed to identify symptoms or physical findings suggestive of locally extensive or metastatic disease, assess pulmonary health status, identify significant comorbidities, and assess overall health status.

Tissue sampling is required to confirm a diagnosis in all patients with suspected lung cancer. Non-surgical approaches, surgical approaches, or both may be used to obtain a tissue sample. Evaluation of the mediastinal lymph nodes is a key step in the further staging of the patient. The best way of evaluating mediastinal lymph nodes is still a matter of debate.

The tumor node metastasis (TNM) International Staging System provides useful prognostic information and is used to stage all patients with non-small cell lung cancer.

Recent trials added new data on screening and diagnostic approach. Those data will be reviewed in this paper.
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INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide. Tobacco consumption is the primary cause of lung cancer, accounting for more than 85%--90% of all lung cancer deaths. While tobacco smoking remains the primary cause of lung cancer worldwide, more than 60% of new lung cancer occur in former smokers (smoked ≥100 cigarettes per lifetime, quit ≥1 year) or never smokers (smoked <100 cigarettes per lifetime). Moreover, one in five women and one in 12 men diagnosed with lung cancer have never smoked. Environmental tobacco smoke or secondhand smoke, occupational exposure to asbestos, arsenic, nickel, mustard gas, bischloromethyl ether, hexavalent chromium, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and ionizing radiation are also established risk factors for lung cancer. Lung cancer susceptibility and risk also are increased in inherited cancer syndromes caused by rare germ-line mutations in p53, retinoblastoma, and other genes.

The two major forms of lung cancer are non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC, about 85% of all lung cancers) and small-cell lung cancer (about 15%). Despite advances in early detection and standard treatment, NSCLC is often diagnosed at an advanced stage and has a poor prognosis. NSCLC can be divided into three major histologic subtypes: (1) squamous-cell carcinoma, (2) adenocarcinoma, and (3) large-cell lung cancer. Smoking causes all types of lung cancer but is most strongly linked with small-cell lung cancer and squamous-cell carcinoma; adenocarcinoma is the most common type in patients who have never smoked.

Recent trials added new data on screening and diagnostic approach. Those data will be reviewed here.

EARLY DETECTION AND SCREENING

For a screening program to be successful, the burden of the disease in the population must be high, effective treatment must be available, the test must be low risk, reproducible, accessible, cost-effective, and both sensitive and specific, and there should be an effective treatment or intervention for patients identified through early detection, with evidence of early treatment leading to better outcomes than late treatment.

The large majority of NSCLC patients present with symptoms in a late advanced stage, and diagnosis occurs mostly in locally advanced or metastatic disease with a very poor rate of cure. The issue of lung cancer screening has
consequently a strong rationale, to increase the detection of early NSCLC potentially cured by surgery.

Although effective mass screening of high-risk groups could potentially be of benefit, randomized trials of screening with the use of chest radiography with or without cytologic analysis of sputum specimens have shown no reduction in lung-cancer mortality.10

Advances in multidetector computed tomography (CT), however, have made high-resolution volumetric imaging possible in a single breath hold at acceptable levels of radiation exposure.11 Several studies10,12-17 have shown that low-dose helical CT of the lung detects more nodules and lung cancers, including early-stage cancers, than does chest radiography.

The National Lung Cancer Screening Trial (NLST),18 a large prospective randomized trial funded by the National Cancer Institute (NCI), to determine whether screening with low-dose CT, as compared with chest radiography (CXR), would reduce mortality from lung cancer among high-risk persons. In this trial 53,454 participants, between 55 and 74 years of age and a history of heavy smoking, were enrolled. Participants were randomly assigned to undergo three annual screenings with either low-dose CT (26,722 participants) or single-view posteroanterior chest radiography (26,732 participants). Non-calcified nodules measuring 4 mm were considered to be positive, as were abnormalities such as effusions and adenopathy. During median follow-up of 6.5 years, lung cancer–specific mortality was significantly lower in the CT group than in the CXR group—relative reduction of 20.0% (95% CI, 6.8-26.7; P = .004). Deaths attributed to invasive diagnostic procedures and cancer treatments were considered lung cancer–related deaths. The number needed to screen to prevent one lung cancer death was about 320. False-positive screenings were common: 39% of participants in the CT group and 16% in the CXR group had at least one positive screen, and 95% of those results were false-positives. The NLST results show that three annual rounds of low-dose CT screening reduce mortality from lung cancer. However, many questions remain. For example, will radiologists generally be able to duplicate the performance of NLST study radiologists? In the community, will low-dose CT (as opposed to standard-dose CT) be readily available and will evaluation and follow-up of screen-positive patients maximize benefit and minimize harm? Given the high false-positive rate, how should we weigh the costs and morbidity of screening and its sequelae? Because of many pending questions, one should wait for further information before endorsing screening.10,19 For those patients who want to be screened, physicians need to discuss the possible risks and benefits of screening. While lung cancers may be found, patients are at risk for more radiation exposure and false-positive results. The latter can result in multiple follow-up CTs and possible invasive procedures, with potential added costs, anxiety, and morbidity and mortality rates.2

Despite the great debate around lung cancer screening, which is a complex and controversial topic, recently the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) has come out in favor of lung cancer screening in an updated set of guidelines.21 It recommends the use of helical low-dose CT screening for selected patients at high risk for the disease—risk assessment and screening modalities are discussed in the guidelines.

CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS

All patients who present with suspect NSCLC should have a complete and meticulous history and physical examination performed to identify symptoms or physical findings suggestive of locally extensive or metastatic disease, assess pulmonary health status, identify significant comorbidities, and assess overall health status. Each impacts the therapeutic options, patient’s ability to tolerate treatment, and disease course in ways that are independent of the disease stage.20,22

Most symptoms and signs (eg, cough, hemoptysis, and postobstructive pneumonia) are non-specific. However, some signs and symptoms, such as weight loss, bone pain, dysphagia, neurologic abnormalities, superior vena cava syndrome, pericardial effusion, enlarged supraclavicular and scalene lymph nodes, and hepatomegaly or right upper quadrant pain, may suggest extensive disease.

NSCLC may cause paraneoplastic syndromes. These are characterized by endocrinopathy, neurologic disorders, metabolic abnormalities, hematologic disease, or skeletal syndromes and may be the presenting finding or the first sign of recurrence. In addition, paraneoplastic syndromes may mimic metastatic disease and, unless detected, lead to inappropriate palliative rather than curative treatment. In some cases, the pathophysiology of the paraneoplastic syndrome is known, particularly when a hormone with biologic activity is secreted by the tumor or an immunologic-mediated mechanism is involved. Often the paraneoplastic syndrome may be relieved with successful treatment of the tumor.2 A detailed description of paraneoplastic syndromes is beyond the scope of this review.

DIAGNOSTIC ASSESSMENT AND STAGING INVESTIGATIONS

Initial evaluation must include performance status, CT of the neck, chest and upper abdomen, including adrenals, complete blood count and platelets, chemistry profile, smoking cessation program (if needed), and pathology review (specific mutations may be a therapy target).21

Tissue sampling is required to confirm a diagnosis in all patients with suspected lung cancer. Pathologic evaluation is performed to classify the histologic type of the lung cancer, determine the extent of invasion, determine whether it is primary lung cancer or metastatic disease, establish the cancer involvement status of the surgical margins, and do molecular diagnostic studies to determine whether certain gene mutations are present (eg, EGFR mutations).

There are several options for sampling a primary tumor, including: (1) conventional flexible bronchoscopy with forceps biopsy, (2) blind transbronchial fine-needle aspiration, (TBNA), (3) image-guided percutaneous fine-needle aspiration or core-needle biopsy, (4) surgical biopsy, (5) endobronchial
ultrasound (EBUS)-guided biopsy, and/or (6) transesophageal endoscopic ultrasound-guided biopsy (EUS).

In patients with suspected metastatic disease, a diagnosis may be confirmed by percutaneous biopsy of a soft tissue mass, lymph node, lytic bone lesion, bone marrow, pleural or liver lesion, or an adequate cell sample obtained from a malignant pleural effusion. In patients with a suspected malignant pleural effusion, if the initial thoracocentesis is negative, a repeat thoracocentesis is recommended. Series examining the diagnostic rate for malignancy of pleural cytology have reported a mean sensitivity of 60% (range 40%-87%). In patients suspected of having lung cancer with an accessible pleural effusion, if the pleural fluid cytology finding is negative (after at least two thoracocentesis), thoracoscopy is recommended as the next step if establishing the cause of the pleural effusion is thought to be clinically important. Thoracoscopic biopsy of the pleura is safe and can provide a definitive diagnosis with a high degree of accuracy and minimal risk to the patient. The reported sensitivity rate ranges between 80% and 99%. However, percutaneous, closed pleural biopsy is reported to be diagnostic for malignancy in only 50% of cases.

The diagnostic yield of any biopsy depends on several factors including location and size of the tumor, tumor type, and technical aspects of the procedure. In general, central lesions are more readily diagnosed by bronchoscopic examination, while peripheral lesions are more amenable to transthoracic biopsy. Bronchoscopic specimens include bronchial brush, bronchial wash, bronchioalveolar lavage, and transbronchial biopsy (forceps biopsy, TBNA, and EBUS). Overall sensitivity for bronchoscopic methods is 85%-90%. Transthoracic FNA specimens have also great sensitivity (70%-95%).

For patients who have multiple comorbidities or contraindications to invasive biopsy, sputum cytology should be considered, especially for patients with centrally located tumors, but pooled sensitivity is low (66%).

Non-surgical approaches, surgical approaches, or both may be used to obtain a tissue sample from patients with suspected lymph node metastasis. Depending on the location, lymph node sampling may occur via EBUS, EUS, or blind biopsy. In patients with palpable lymph nodes, a needle biopsy or needle aspiration may be used to obtain a tissue sample. Successful application of the non-surgical approaches may eliminate the need for a surgical staging procedure.

Evaluation of the mediastinal lymph nodes is a key step in the further staging of the patient. To detect mediastinal metastases, patients are routinely investigated with CT and positron emission tomography (PET), followed by mediastinal tissue staging for enlarged (≥10 mm) or PET-positive intrathoracic nodes, as imaging alone is inaccurate to replace invasive lymph node staging on tissue specimens. In addition, the visual location of intrathoracic lymph nodes with PET is not always unequivocal because of the low spatial resolution of the PET images. Integrated PET/CT scans theoretically overcome this problem because of the co-acquisition of CT and PET images, resulting in so-called fusion images. However, no difference in accuracy was noted when integrated PET/CT scans were compared with CT scans alone. A prospective study assessed the accuracy of the integrated PET/CT scan in the nodal staging of NSCLC and evaluated if tissue-confirmed lymph node staging by surgery or echo-endoscopy could be avoided. This study found that integrated PET/CT scanning has an overall accuracy, which is too low to replace invasive intrathoracic lymph node staging. Another study found that integrated PET/CT provides low sensitivity and accuracy in intrathoracic nodal staging of NSCLC patients and underscores the continued need for histologic diagnosis.

Undetected preoperative mediastinal metastases are a major cause of unnecessary thoracotomies, occurring in 28% of patients. Unnecessary thoracotomies result in suboptimal treatment, significantly impaired functional health status, and avoidable mortality.

Mediastinal tissue staging is classically performed by mediastinoscopy, a surgical diagnostic procedure with a sensitivity of approximately 80%. Mediastinal lymph nodes can also be sampled under real-time ultrasound control from either the esophagus (EUS) or the airways (EBUS). Combined EUS and EBUS can reach almost all mediastinal nodal stations with a reported sensitivity of 93% (CI 95%, 81%-99%) and 97% specificity (CI95%, 91%-99%) for establishing the presence of mediastinal disease in lung cancer patients. Current lung cancer staging guidelines acknowledge endosonography as a minimally invasive alternative to surgical staging (mediastinoscopy) to detect nodal disease, reducing the need for surgical staging in up to two thirds of patients. A study was designed to examine the hypothesis that minimally invasive combined endoscopic procedures were as good as or even better than surgical staging (mediastinoscopy) for the evaluation of mediastinal lymph nodes in patients with lung cancer. In this study, patients were eligible for mediastinal nodal sampling if they had mediastinal nodes with short axis ≥10 mm on CT or PET-positive mediastinal or hilar nodes or centrally located lung tumor. Patients with proven distant metastasis, irresectable disease (as judged by the thoracic surgeon on the available imaging), or small peripheral lung tumors without evidence of enlarged or PET-positive intrathoracic nodes were not considered for eligibility. The primary outcome was sensitivity for mediastinal nodal metastases. Secondary outcomes were rates of unnecessary thoracotomy and complications. Two hundred forty-one patients were randomized, 118 to surgical staging and 123 to endosonography, of whom 65 also underwent surgical staging. Nodal metastases were found in 41 patients (35%) by surgical staging versus 56 patients (46%) by endosonography (P = .11) and in 62 patients (50%) by endosonography followed by mediastinoscopy (P = .02). This study has shown that commencing mediastinal nodal staging with endosonography significantly improves the detection of nodal metastases and reduces the rate of unnecessary thoracotomies compared with mediastinoscopy alone, in patients with resectable NSCLC. This combined approach, with mediastinoscopy reserved for those patients with negative...
Table 1. TNM Staging System for Lung Cancer (7th Edition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor (T)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>Tumor ≤ 2 cm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 2 cm but ≤ 3 cm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 3 cm but ≤ 7 cm, or tumor with any of the following features:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Involves main bronchus, ≥ 2 cm distal to carina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Invades visceral pleura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Associated with atelectasis or obstructive pneumonitis that extends to the hilar region but does not involve the entire lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2b</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 5 cm but ≤ 7 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 7 cm or any of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Directly invades any of the following: chest wall, diaphragm, phrenic nerve, mediastinal pleura, parietal pericardium, main bronchus &lt; 2 cm from carina (without involvement of carina)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Atelectasis or obstructive pneumonitis of the entire lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Separate tumor nodules in the same lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Tumor of any size that invades the mediastinum, heart, great vessels, trachea, recurrent laryngeal nerve, esophagus, vertebral body, carina, or with separate tumor nodules in a different ipsilateral lobe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional lymph nodes (N)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Metastasis in ipsilateral peribronchial and/or ipsilateral hilar lymph nodes and intrapulmonary nodes, including involvement by direct extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Metastasis in ipsilateral mediastinal and/or subcarinal lymph node(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Metastasis in contralateral mediastinal, contralateral hilar, ipsilateral or contralateral scalene, or supraclavicular lymph node(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distant metastasis (M)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>Separate tumor nodule(s) in a contralateral lobe; tumor with pleural nodules or malignant pleural or pericardial effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1b</td>
<td>Distant metastasis (in extrathoracic organs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage groupings</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA</td>
<td>T1a-T1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IB</td>
<td>T2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIA</td>
<td>T1a, T1b, T2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
<td>T2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIA</td>
<td>T1a, T1b, T2a, T2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N1, N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No, N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIB</td>
<td>T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

findings on EBUS/EUS resulted in superior sensitivity and negative predictive value over mediastinoscopy alone. This benefit was not associated with a greater rate of complications. These results are consistent with findings of other studies.50

Missing mediastinal nodal metastases during preoperative surgical staging results in patients needlessly undergoing thoracotomy. Because almost all mediastinal nodes can be covered, a combined endosonography (EBUS/EUS) investigation could be superior to mediastinoscopy staging in the detection of nodal disease. Furthermore, endosonography does not require general anesthesia, is preferred by patients,51 and is considered cost-effective52 compared with surgical staging.

Even though this emerging technology of endoscopic study can have excellent results for predicting both positive and negative values, referral centers with highly skilled interventionalists are required to provide these good results.53

Mediastinal node evaluation is straightforward when patients have a positive PET and/or CT scan (≥10 mm). Noteworthy, mediastinal node evaluation is also appropriate for patients with T2/3 and central T1 lesions, even if the PET/CT scan do not suggest mediastinal node involvement.23,34-55 In other hand, because of the low prior probability of lymph node involvement in patients with peripheral T1, clinical No lesions, some authors do not use routine mediastinoscopy in these patients.56

Regarding adrenal nodules, it should be noted that adrenal gland nodules or masses may be found by CT in 3%-4% of patients.57-59 In patients with lung cancer, most adrenal nodules are benign adenomas (fewer than half are metastasis). All adrenal lesions in patients with suspected lung cancer require direct evaluation if it will determine the disease stage. A malignant adrenal nodule is considered distant metastasis. Conventional CT and MRI imaging of adrenal lesions permits initial characterization of adrenal nodules. Protocols that measure the washout of attenuation following the administration of intravenous contrast significantly improve the sensitivity and specificity of CT for characterizing adrenal lesions.60-62 PET imaging may also improve the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of adrenal gland imaging.63

An adrenal gland biopsy should be performed if confirmation of the adrenal pathology will determine the disease stage and treatment options. Image-guided fine needle biopsy is the most common approach.53

**STAGING SYSTEM**

The TNM International Staging System provides useful prognostic information and is used to stage all patients with NSCLC. The various T (tumor size), N (regional node
Four types of staging can be performed in patients with NSCLC. All are based on the TNM staging system:

1. The clinical-diagnostic stage (the focus of this review) is based upon medical history, physical examination, laboratory testing, physiologic evaluation, radiologic testing, tissue sampling, and any other investigation undertaken prior to primary therapy. It is assigned the prefix c (p.e. cT3N2M0).
2. The surgical-pathologic evaluation is based on the clinical-diagnostic stage plus histopathologic data from the resected tumor. It provides confirmation of the T descriptor, N descriptor, and histologic type. In addition, it takes into account the histologic grade, resection margins, and presence or absence of lymphovascular invasion. The surgical-pathologic stage is assigned the prefix p (p.e. pT3N2M0).
3. A retreatment stage is assigned if there is recurrence of disease and a new treatment program is planned.
4. An autopsy stage is recorded when a patient dies and has a postmortem examination performed.

Patients who may be a candidate for surgical resection of the NSCLC should undergo complete pulmonary function testing and consultation with a cardiothoracic surgeon.

**SUMMARY**

When a patient presents with suspected NSCLC, the diagnosis should be confirmed and both the histologic type and disease stage should be determined.

All patients should undergo a detailed history, CT of the neck, chest, and upper abdomen, including adrenals, complete blood count and platelets, chemistry profile, smoking cessation program (if needed), and pathology review.

Undetected mediastinal metastases are a major cause of unnecessary thoracotomies. Unnecessary thoracotomies result in suboptimal treatment, significantly impaired functional health status, and avoidable mortality. To detect mediastinal metastases, patients are routinely investigated with CT and PET, followed by mediastinal tissue staging for enlarged (≥10 mm) or PET-positive intrathoracic nodes. However, integrated PET/CT scanning has an overall accuracy, which is too low to replace invasive intrathoracic lymph node staging. Mediastinal tissue staging is classically performed by mediastinoscopy. Current lung cancer staging guidelines acknowledge endosonography as a minimally invasive alternative to surgical staging (mediastinoscopy) to detect nodal disease. A combined endosonography investigation could be superior to mediastinoscopy staging in the detection of nodal disease (Figure 1).

Staging is based upon the TNM staging system for NSCLC (Table 1).
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