Seasonal variation of haemoglobin A1c in a Portuguese adult population
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Haemoglobin A1c (Hb A1c) is routinely used for monitoring glycemic control in patients with diabetes. Hb A1c seasonal fluctuations can be directly related to different biological, geographical and cultural influences. Our purpose was to evaluate seasonal variation of Hb A1c in a hospital-based adult population over a period of 5 years. Materials and methods: We analyzed retrospectively monthly Hb A1c mean values (DCCT, %) based on all the assays performed to adult patients at a tertiary care university Portuguese hospital between 2008-2012. Results: We obtained 62,384 Hb A1c valid measurements, with a peak level found in January-February (7.1%), a trough in August-October (6.8%) and an average peak-to-trough amplitude value of 0.3%. This trend was observed in both genders and age subgroups evaluated. Conclusions: There is a Hb A1c circannual seasonal pattern with peak levels occurring in winter months in this Portuguese population. This finding should be recognized in daily clinical practice to warrant better clinical and epidemiological interpretation of Hb A1c values. Arch Endocrinol Metab. 2015;59(3):231-5
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INTRODUCTION

The achievement of an adequate glycemic control is crucial in preventing chronic complications in diabetes (1). Haemoglobin A1c (Hb A1c) is a marker of the average blood glucose levels in the past 90 days and is commonly used as a predictor of diabetic complications (2,3).

Several human physiologic parameters are known to be influenced by seasonal cycles (4). Some, as blood pressure levels, heart rate, lipid profile, insulin and cortisol serum levels are also factors associated to the development of many diseases and cardiovascular events (5-9). Seasonal changes on type 1 diabetes incidence have also been reported in literature, with new onset cases diagnosed more commonly during winter months (10). Many influences have been linked to these occurrences such as increased viral infections incidence, amount of exercise taken, vitamin D serum concentrations, hormonal fluctuations as well as increased insulin sensitivity during summer (10,11). This variation pattern seems to be associated with the geographic location, particularly when different latitudes and respective temperature variations between winter and summer are considered (11,12). It has been suggested that seasonal fluctuations also occur in glycemic control in both type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients (13-17). There are several epidemiological reports coming from different geographic areas that exhibit clinical relevant Hb A1c circannual fluctuations (15,16,18,19). Most of them were performed in the northern hemisphere, with higher Hb A1c levels found in winter colder months and lower values during summer time. The exact mechanism through which different seasons would induce changes on Hb A1c levels is not fully understood. The available data suggest that there is a complex of potential environmental, biologic and cultural factors implicated (especially festive-food intake patterns, physical activity or even exposure to sunlight).

In Portugal, to the best of our knowledge, there are no published data concerning this issue. Our purpose was to evaluate Hb A1c variation across different months for a five year-period (2008-2012) and to confirm the presence of any seasonal effect.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrieved data from the electronic medical records of Hospital Santo Antonio – Centro Hospitalar do Porto, a tertiary care university hospital center in the northern of Portugal (latitude 41º 08’ North) from 1st January 2008 to 31st December 2012. Mean local temperature ranged during this time between an average minimum and maximum of 5.2ºC and 25.7ºC in January and August, respectively (20). The data collected were unidentified and included only age, sex, date of blood collection and Hb A1c levels. In total, we collected 63,785 Hb A1c measurements and retained 62,384 after excluding both extreme values (< 3% and/or > 18%) and patients younger than 18 years-old. All Hb A1c results are expressed in percentage (%) using the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program/Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (NGSP/DCCT) certified method and were performed on ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) blood specimens using cation-exchange high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) Adams A1c HA-8160, Diabetes Mode equipment (Arkray, Inc., Kyoto, Japan). This method did not change over the 5-year study period. The mean Hb A1c and the 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated for each month as well as the prevalence of Hb A1c values above 6.5 and 9.0%. The subjects were further classified into gender and age subgroups (< 35-yr, 35 to 64-yr and ≥ 65-yr). To determine whether there were differences between the monthly means, Kruskal-Wallis with post hoc analysis using Dunn’s test were used. Comparisons between distinct Hb A1c prevalence cut-points were performed by using X² test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, California, USA) and differences were considered to be statistically significant at p < 0.05 level.

RESULTS

Over the period between January 2008 and December 2012 we analyzed a total of 62,384 Hb A1c records corresponding, in average to 1,039 per month. Gender distribution was almost even (49% women). Patient’s age ranged from 19 to 104 years-old with the following dispersion: < 35-yr, 7.5% (n = 4,679); 35-64-yr, 51.1% (n = 31,878); and ≥ 65-yr, 41.4% (n = 25,827). The overall mean Hb A1c was 6.9 ± 1.7% (95% CI, 6.9-7.0%). Evaluating month-dependent data we have found a consistent Hb A1c cyclical fluctuation (repeated over the five years) with higher average values observed in January and February (peak of 7.1 ± 1.9% in February) and gradually decline after spring-summer months until August to October (nadir of 6.8 ± 1.7% in September) (Table 1). A significant growing trend (p < 0.0001) was detected from October till February with a mean peak-to-trough variation between February and September of about 0.3% (Figure 1). No differences were found between consecutive months. The same seasonal trend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Hb A1c (%) (mean ± standard deviation)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Mean Hb A1c &gt; 6.5% (%)</th>
<th>Mean Hb A1c &gt; 9% (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>&lt; 35-yr</td>
<td>35 - 64-yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.9a</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8b</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.0b</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.1c</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.0b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.9a</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.9a,b</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.9a,b</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.2c</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.0a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8a</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8a,b</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.9a</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.9a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8a</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.9a,b</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.3a</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a p < 0.001 vs. September and/or October; **p < 0.01 vs. October and/or November; "p < 0.05 vs. September and/or October."
was found when evaluating prevalence fluctuations of Hb A1c values greater than 6.5 or 9.0%, with higher dimension in January-February and lower proportion between August-September (p < 0.001) (Table 1). Time series analysis with age and sex subgroups shared this same seasonal trend with higher Hb A1c levels in January till April when comparing with its nadir in September (p < 0.05) (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In our temperate northern Portuguese climate Hb A1c levels among an hospital-based population present a circannual cyclical pattern with peaks in mid-summer and troughs in late-summer. Monthly averages Hb A1c were significantly higher during the cooler winter months of January-February and lower immediately after the warmer summer months, reflecting plasma glucose excursions throughout the previous 2-3 months, with a mean absolute amplitude between winter-summer of 0.3%.

Our data are consistent with previous reports assessing seasonal variation of Hb A1c. Most of these works were performed in western countries from the northern hemisphere, where similar Hb A1c circannual fluctuation patterns, with higher levels during cooler autumn-winter months and lower levels during warmer summer-spring time, were described in both children and adult individuals with or without diabetes (14,16,18,21-23). In the United States, Tseng and cols. noted that Hb A1c peak and trough was found in January-February and September-October months, respectively, with a mean amplitude of 0.22% (16). Furthermore, they documented that this seasonal variation was accentuated at higher latitudes areas where greater temperature differences between winter and summer also occur. Likewise in adult diabetic patients from Sweden, Asplund reported its highest values in January (7.61%) and its lowest values in July (7.23%), with an amplitude of 0.38% (14). More recently, Kim and cols. analyzed Hb A1c seasonal variations in a large scale population of Korean patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and also found a peak in February-March (late winter-early spring) and a trough in September-October (early autumn) with a negative correlation with average daily temperature and an amplitude range 0.16% to 0.25% (24). Likewise, a neighboring Spanish paper of Escribano-Serrano and cols. has documented higher mean Hb A1c values in January (7.01%) and minimal values in October (6.74%), with a significant magnitude of 0.27% (25). In contrast, another study also under-
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taken in the southern Spain, the authors found a slight fluctuation of Hb A1c levels (highest value in February and the lowest in July, 7.3% and 7.1%, respectively), but with a small range of inter-monthly variation and without any significant seasonal effect (26). We speculate that this discrepancy observed between these two regions with similar latitude and average temperatures may be due to some differences found in their methodological approach and population size. Additionally, the gender and age subgroup analysis that was carried out by us was also consistent with other reports. Sakura and cols., in Japan, have showed a clear sinusoidal seasonal pattern of Hb A1c levels fluctuation (peak in March and trough in August) with female and young subjects presenting a significantly greater amplitude of Hb A1c variation than males and elderly patients (27). Our results shared some this observed tendency, with a higher Hb A1c peak-to-trough amplitude (~0.5%) in the youngest age subgroup (< 35 yr-old).

Similar circannual patterns were also reported for other metabolic features and hormones, suggesting that these variations may result from a complex physiological response to some seasonal environmental elements (21,23). Cold and other climate conditions have been explored as potential enhancing factors underlying to these cyclical physiological variations. Several authors suggested that the plasma glucose increase observed during winter months may result from an innate physiological homeostatic response that includes a normal thermoregulatory body strategy against cold (23). Available evidence concerning this issue point to a direct effect of low ambient temperature exposure on metabolic axis through consequent rise in counter regulatory hormones concentrations during cold stress (7,28). This rise of blood glucose levels could be associated with an increased need of heat production that could be achieved by increasing serum morning cortisol, epinephrine, thyroid hormones, glucagon and growth hormone concentrations (29). Intrinsic season-related effects and changes on carbohydrate metabolism, particularly on gluconeogenesis, were also described in cold-adapted rats exposed to low temperatures (30). Rises in serum cortisol levels and increase tissue sensitivity to glucocorticoids is a recognized effect during cold months that could account for some of the body fat gain and consequent insulin resistance also observed over winter (5,31). Likewise, several human seasonal nutritional characteristics, such as the excessive caloric intake during winter festivities, and cultural aspects, such as simultaneous decreased physical activity, are known to be associated to an increase of adiposity with secondary insulin resistance establishment during this period (15). Some previous studies have showed that this seasonal variation in physical activity is coincident with other circannual fluctuations in physiological markers such as lipid profile, blood pressure, bone mineral density and psycho-affective disorders changes (32). Other theories have been proposed to explain this phenomenon which included greater frequency of minor viral infections, changes in host susceptibility to infection and seasonal occurrence of some psychological alterations (11). In an attempt to control climate influence on glycemic control Hawkins examined the Hb A1c circannual variation in a tropical country with no significant temperature variation (33). Their results failed to reveal any seasonal pattern and reinforced the deleterious effect of dietary indiscretion during festivities in glycemic control. Recently, other authors pointed out for the inverse association between serum 25-(OH)-vitamin D and glycemic metabolism, suggesting that this sun-dependent hormone might have some direct intervention on glucose homeostasis and therefore be also implicated in the Hb A1c circannual fluctuation (34,35).

Our study has some limitations. First, it is based on a retrospective analysis from data extracted through a patient computer database. As such, we cannot consider for evaluation other potentially confounding factors than the ones available and presented here (age and gender). Second, the studied population may have some age and metabolic characteristics that does not allow us to extrapolate these results to other different populations of healthy individuals or adults with glycemic disorders. On the other hand, we highlight the large number (over 60,000) of Hb A1c evaluations evaluated that came from a single hospital center with a stable patient population and analytical methodology practice.

In conclusion, this study has described a circannual pattern of Hb A1c levels in adult subjects of northern Portugal with a peak in January-February, nadir in August-October and a mean amplitude of 0.3%. Health providers and researchers must be aware of this Hb A1c seasonal variation and consider adjusting epidemiological and clinical decisions to the local season, climate and calendar festivities circumstances. Special concern should be present when using Hb A1c levels to diagnose diabetes mellitus in temperate countries where the presence of a significant peak-to-trough amplitude
could easily misdiagnose patients over distinct months. This study also emphasizes the need for more efficient methods to overcome culture and dietary barriers present during winter holidays.
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